

APPENDIX 1: References

(reviewed 3/01/08)

This is a list of references which were consulted for the Review of Literature in MATRIX OF REVIEW STANDARDS for the Quality Matters project.

- Achtemeier, S. D., Morris, L. V., & Finnegan, C. L. (February 2003). Considerations for developing evaluations of online courses. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Network*, 7(1). Retrieved November 6, 2003, from http://www.aln.org/publications/jaln/v7n1/pdf/v7n1_achtemeier.pdf
- Alley, L. R. & Jansak, K. E. (2001). The ten keys to quality assurance and assessment in online learning. *Journal of Interactive Instruction Development*, 13(3), 3-18.
- Anderson, T. (October 2003). Getting the mix right again: An updated and theoretical rationale for interaction. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 4(2).
- Anderson, T. (2002). An updated and theoretical rationale for interaction. Retrieved March 20, 2003, from <http://it.coe.uga.edu/itforum/paper63/paper63.htm>
- Anderson, T., Rourke, L., Garrison, D.R., & Archer, W. (2001). The effects of teacher discourse in computer-mediated discussion. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 5, 1-17.
- Baker, R. (2003, summer). A framework for design and evaluation of internet-based distance learning courses: Phase one-framework justification, design and evaluation. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 6(2). Retrieved December 9, 2003, from <http://www.westga.edu/~distnace/ojdl/summer62/baker62.html>
- Beaudin, B. P. (1999). Keeping online asynchronous discussions on topic. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Network*, 3(2), 41-53. Available at <http://www.aln.org/alnweb/journal/jaln-vol3issue2.htm>
- Belanish, J., Wisher, R., and Orvis, K. (2004). A Question-Collaboration Approach to Web-Based Learning. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 18(3), 169-18..
- Bloom B., ed. (1956). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals, Handbook 1: Cognitive Domain*. New York: McKay,
- Brookfield, S. D. (1997). Assessing critical thinking. In A. Rose & M. Leahy (Ed.), *Assessing adult learning in diverse settings: Current issues and approaches*, (pp. 17-29). New directions for adult and continuing education, 75, San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Brown, A. R. & Voltz, B. D. (2005). Elements of effective e-learning design. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 6(1). Retrieved April 21, 2005, from http://www.irrodl.org/content/v6.1/brown_voltz.html
- Bruning, K. (May 2005). The Role of Critical Thinking in the Online Learning Environment. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(5). Retrieved May 20, 2005 from: http://www.itdl.org/Journal/May_05/article03.htm.
- Chang, S. L. (2003). What types of online facilitation do students need? ERIC ED470183 from Annual Proceedings of Selected Research and Development: Practice Papers presented at the National Convention of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (Atlanta, GA,

- November, 2001) Retrieved November 21, 2003 from
http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICDocs/data/ericdocs2/content_storage_01/0000000b/80/27/b5/66.pdf
- Conrad, D. (2002). Engagement, Excitement, Anxiety and Fear: Learners' Experiences of Starting an Online Course. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 16(4), 205-226.
- Coombs, N. & Banks, R. (2000). *Distance learning and students with disabilities: Easy tips for teachers* Paper presented at Technology and Persons with Disabilities: Where Assistive Technology Meets the Information Age. Retrieved May 3, 2002, from
<http://www.csun.edu/cod/conf2000/proceedings/0119Coombs.html>
- Duffy, T., and del Valle, R. (2005). LTTS: A course management system for online inquiry learning. Presentation at the 21st Annual Conference on Distance Teaching & Learning, Madison, WI. Retrieved September 28, 2005 from:
http://www.uwex.edu/disted/conference/Resource_library/handouts/05_1805P.pdf
- Deubel, P. (2003). Learning from reflections – Issues in building quality online courses. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 4(3). Retrieved November 17, 2003, from
<http://www.westga.edu/~distance/ojdl/fall63/deubel63.htm>
- Edmonds, C. D. (2004). Providing access to students with disabilities in online distance education: Legal and technical concerns for higher education. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 18(1), 51-62.
- Fredericksen, E., Pickett, A., Pelz, W., Swan, K., and Shea, P. (2000). Student satisfaction and perceived learning with on-line courses: principles and examples from the SUNY learning network. In Bourne, J. (Ed.), *On-Line Education, Volume 1: Learning Effectiveness and Faculty Satisfaction*. (pp.7-36). Nashville, TN: Center for Asynchronous Learning Networks.
- Gagné, B., Briggs, L. & Wager, W. (1992). *Principles of instructional design (4th ed.)*. NY: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2001). Critical thinking, cognitive presence, and computer conferencing in distance education. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 15(1), 7-23.
- Garrison, D. R. & Cleveland-Innes, M. (2005). Facilitating cognitive presence in online learning: Interaction is not enough. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 19(3), 133-148.
- Garrison, D. R. & Anderson, T. (2003). *E-Learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice*. London: Routledge Falmer.
- Gunawardena, C. & Zittle, F. (1997). Social Presence as a Predictor of Satisfaction within a Computer-Mediated Conferencing Environment. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 11(3), 8-26.
- Gunawardena, C., Lowe, C., & Anderson, T. (1998). Transcript analysis of computer-mediated conferences as a tool for testing constructivist and social-constructivist learning theories. In: *Distance Learning '98. Proceedings of the Annual Conference on Distance Teaching & Learning (14th, Madison, WI, August 5-7, 1998)*. ERIC Document Reproduction Service ED422854.

- Hannafin, M., Hill, J. R., Oliver, K., Glazer, E. & Sharma, P. (2003). Cognitive and learning factors in web-based distance learning environments. In M. Moore & W. Anderson (Eds.), *Handbook for Distance Education*. (pp. 245-260). Mahwah, NJ; Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hannon, P.A., Umble, K.E., Alexander, L., Francisco, D., Steckler, A., Tudor, B., et al. (2002). Gagne and Laurillard's models of instruction applied to distance education: A theoretically driven evaluation of an online curriculum in public health. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 3(2). Retrieved December 9, 2002, from <http://www.irrodl.org/content/v3.2/hannon.html>
- Hislop, G. (2000). Working professionals as part-time on-line learners. In Bourne, J. (Ed.), *On-Line Education, Volume 1: Learning Effectiveness and Faculty Satisfaction*. (pp.71-84). Nashville, TN: Center for Asynchronous Learning Networks.
- Huitt, W. (2000). Bloom et al.'s taxonomy of cognitive domain. Retrieved November 20, 2005 from <http://chiron.valdosta.edu/whuitt/col/cogsys/bloom.html>
- Inglis, A. (2005). Quality improvement, quality assurance, and benchmarking: Comparing two frameworks for managing quality processes in open and distance learning. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 6(1), Retrieved November 22, 2005, from <http://www.irrodl.org/content/v6.1/inglis.html>
- Janicki and Liegle (2001). Development and evaluation of a framework for creating web-based learning modules: a pedagogical and systems perspective. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 5(1). Retrieved May 3, 2005 from http://www.sloan-c.org/publications/jaln/v5n1/v5n1_janicki.asp
- Jeong, A. C. (2003). The sequential analysis of group interaction and critical thinking in online threaded discussions. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 17(1), 25-43.
- Jensen, Self, & Rhymer (2002). A rocky journey toward effective assessment of visualization modules for learning enhancement in engineering mechanics. *Educational Technology & Society*, 5(3). Retrieved November 18, 2005, from http://www.ifets.info/jourlas/5_3/jensen.html
- Jiang, M., and Ting, E. (2000). A study of factors influencing students' perceived learning in a web-based course environment. *International Journal of Educational Telecommunications*, 6(4), 317-338.
- Johnson. D. (2004). A planning and assessment model for developing effective DMS support. *Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 7(1). Available at <http://www.westga.edu/%7Edistance/ojdl/spring71/johnson71.html>
- Jonassen, D., Davidson, M., Collins, M., & Haag, B. (1995). Constructivism and computer-mediated communication in distance education. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 9(2), 7-26.
- Kanuka, Collett, & Caswell (2002). University instructor perceptions of the use of asynchronous text-based discussion in distance courses. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 16 (3), 151-168.

- Kinash, S., Crichton, S., & Kim-Rupnow, W. S. (2004). A review of 2000-2003 literature at the intersection of online learning and disability. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 18(1), 5-19.
- Koszalka, T. A. & Ganesan, R. (2004). Designing online courses: A taxonomy to guide strategic use of features available in course management systems (CMS) in distance education. *Distance Education*, 25(2), 243-256.
- Kramarae, C. (2003). Gender equity online, when there is no door to knock on. In M. Moore & W. Anderson (Eds.), *Handbook for Distance Education*. (pp. 261-272). Mahwah, NJ; Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Krauth, B. and Carbajal, J. (1999). *Guide to developing online student services*. Retrieved May 3, 2005 from <http://www.wiche.edu/telecom/resources/publications/guide/guide.htm>.
- Lewis, B. A. (2002). Learning effectiveness: Efficacy of quizzes vs. discussions in on-line learning. *Dissertation International Abstracts*, 63(03), 911A.
- Liu, Y. & Ginther, D. (1999). Cognitive styles and distance education. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 2 (3). Retrieved from the World Wide Web Nov. 26, 2002: <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/liu23.html>
- Ludwig-Hardman, S., and Dunlap, J. (2003). Learner Support Services for Online Students: Scaffolding for Success. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 4(1). Retrieved May 3, 2005 from <http://www.irrodl.org/content/v4.1/dunlap.html>.
- Lux, J.R., & Davidson, B. D. (2003). Guidelines for the development of computer-based instruction modules for science and engineering. *Educational Technology & Society*, 6(4), 125-133. Available at http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/6_4/12.pdf
- Macdonald, J. & Twining, P. (2002). Assessing activity-based learning for a networked course. *British Journal of Educational Technology* 33(5), 603-618
- Mager, R. (1962). *Preparing Instructional Objectives*. Palo Alto, CA: Fearon Publishers, Inc.
- Mager, R. (1975). *Preparing Instructional Objectives: Second Edition*. Belmont, CA: Fearon-Pitman Publishers, Inc.
- Malopinsky, L., Kirkley, J. R., Duffy, T. (2002). Building Performance Support Systems to Assist preK-12 Teachers in Designing Online, Inquiry-Based Professional Development Instruction. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA.
- May, S. (1993). Collaborative learning: More is not necessarily better. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 7 (3), 39-49.
- McIsaac, M., Blocher, J., Mahes, V., & Vrasidas, C. (1999). Student and teacher perceptions of interaction in online computer-mediated communication. *Educational Media International*, 36(2), 121-132.

- McVay Lynch, M. (1998). Constructivism in instructional design for distance education. Retrieved July 16, 2001, from Portland State University, Computing Resources Web site: <http://web.pdx.edu/~mmlynch/constructivist.html>
- Miller, M. D., Rainer, R. K. & Coley, J. K. (2003). Predictors of engagement and participation in an on-line course. *The Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 6(1). Retrieved November 20, 2005, from <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/ojdla/spring61/miller61.htm>
- Moore, M. G. & Kearsley, G. *Distance education: A systems view* (2nd ed). Belmont, CA: Thomson Wadsworth.
- Muirhead, B. (2000). Enhancing Social Interaction in Computer-Mediated Distance Education. *Educational Technology & Society*, 3 (4) [Online]. Retrieved December 20, 2002 from the World Wide Web: http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/vol_4_2000/discuss_august2000.pdf
- Muirhead, B. (2002?). Practical strategies for teaching computer-mediated classes. *US Distance Learning Association Journal*, 15 (5). Retrieved November 8, 2003 from http://www.usdla.org/html/journal/May01_Issue/article02.html
- Murphy, K., Mahoney, S. & Harvell, T. (2000). Role of Contracts in Enhancing Community Building in Web Courses. *Educational Technology & Society*, 3 (3) [Electronic version]. Retrieved December 20, 2002 from http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/vol_3_2000/e03.pdf
- Neuhauser, C. (2002). Learning style and effectiveness of online and face-to-face instruction. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 16(2), 99-113.
- Nicol, D., Littlejohn, A., and Grierson, H. (2005). The importance of structuring information and resources within shared workspaces during collaborative design learning. *Open Learning*, 20(1), 31-49.
- Nielsen, J. (2000). Designing accessibility: the pragmatic approach. *JakobNielsen's Alertbox*. Retrieved November 22, 2005, from <http://www.useit.com/alertbox/990613.html>
- Pawan, F., Paulus, T. M., Yalcin, S., & Chang, C. (2003). Online learning: Patterns of engagement and interaction among in-service teachers. *Language Learning and Technology*, 7(3), 119-140.
- Perrin, K. M. & Mayhew, D. (2002, Winter). The reality of designing and implementing an Internet-based course. *Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 3 (4).
- Phipps, R. & Merisotis, J. (2000, April). Quality on the line: Benchmarks for success in Internet-based distance education. Report from The Institute for Higher Education Policy, Washington, D.C. Retrieved September 2000, from <http://www.ihep.com/Pubs/PDF/Quality.pdf>
- Poscente, K. R. & Fahy, P. J. (2003). Investigating triggers in CMC text transcripts. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 4(2). Retrieved April 21, 2005, from http://www.irrodl.org/content/v4.2/poscente_fahy.html
- Ragoonaden, K. & Bordeleau, P. (2000). Collaborative Learning via the Internet. *Educational Technology & Society*, 3 (3) [Online]. Retrieved 12/20/2002 from the World Wide Web: http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/vol_3_2000/d11.pdf

- Richardson, J., and Swan, K. (2001). An examination of social presence in online learning: students' perceived learning and satisfaction. Seattle, WA: Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, 2001.
- Roblyer, M. D. & Ekhaml, L. (2000, Spring). How interactive are YOUR distance courses? A rubric for assessing interaction in distance learning. *Online Journal of Distance Education Administration*, 3(2). Retrieved October 25, 2000, from <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/roblyer32.html>.
- Roblyer, M. D. & Wiencke, W. R. (2003). Design and use of a rubric to assess and encourage interactive qualities in distance courses. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 17(2), 77-98.
- Rogers, J. (2000). Communities of Practice: A framework for fostering coherence in virtual learning communities. *Educational Technology & Society*, 3 (3) [Online]. Retrieved 12/20/2002 from the World Wide Web: http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/vol_3_2000/e01.pdf
- Rourke, L., Anderson, T., Garrison, D. R. & Archer, W. (2001). Assessing Social Presence in Asynchronous Text-based Computer Conferencing. *Journal of Distance Education*, 14(2), 50-71. Retrieved December 20, 2002 from the World Wide Web: http://cade.athabasca.ca/vol14.2/rourke_et_al.html
- Rowland, C., Burgstahler, S., Smith, J., & Coombs, N. (2004). Issues in accessing distance education technologies for individuals with disabilities. *White paper for National Summit on Disability & Distance Education*, Washington, DC, May 11-12. Retrieved November 22, 2005 from <http://www.ncdae.org/activities/papers/technology.htm>
- Saba, F. (2005). Critical issues in distance education: A report from the United States. *Distance Education*, 26(2), 255-272.
- Schwartz, L. M. (2004). XXXII: Using internet audio to enhance online accessibility. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 5(2). Retrieved April 21, 2005 from <http://www.irrodl.org/content/v5.2/technote2.html>
- Sener, J. (2001). Bringing ALN into the mainstream: NVCC case studies. In Bourne, J., and Moore, J. (Eds.), *On-Line Education, Volume 2: Learning Effectiveness, Faculty Satisfaction, and Cost Effectiveness*. (pp.7-29). Needham, MA: Sloan Center for OnLine Education.
- Shattuck, K. 2002. Speaking personally-with Betsy A. Zaborowski. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 16(4), 259-263.
- Shattuck, K. (2005). Glimpses of the global coral gardens: Insights of international adult learners on the interactions of cultures in online distance education. (Doctoral dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University, 2005).
- Shea, P., Fredericksen, E., Pickett, A., Pelz, W., and Swan, K.. (2001). Measures of learning effectiveness in the SUNY learning network. In Bourne, J., and Moore, J. (Eds.), *On-Line Education, Volume 2: Learning Effectiveness, Faculty Satisfaction, and Cost Effectiveness*. (pp.31-54). Needham, MA: Sloan Center for OnLine Education.
- Shea, P., Swan, K., Fredericksen, E., and Pickett, A. (2002). Student satisfaction and reported learning in the SUNY learning network. In Bourne, J., and Moore, J. (Eds.), *Elements of Quality Online Education*. (pp.145-56). Needham, MA: Sloan Center for OnLine Education.

- Shin, N. (2001). Beyond interaction: transactional presence and distance learning. (Doctoral dissertation, Pennsylvania State University, 2001).
- Shin, N. (2002). Beyond interaction: the relational construct of 'Transactional Presence.' *Open Learning*, 17(2), 121-137.
- Sims, R. (2003). Promises of interactivity: Aligning learner perceptions and expectations with strategies for flexible and online learning. *Distance Education*, 24(1), 87-103.
- Sims, R., Dobbs, G., & Hand, T. (2002). Enhancing quality in online learning: Scaffolding planning and design through proactive evaluation. *Distance Education*, 23(2), 135-148.
- Shiratuddin N., Hassan, S., Landoni, M. (2003). A usability study for promoting eContent in higher education. *Educational Technology & Society*, 6(4), 112-124. Available at http://ifets.ieee.org/periodical/6_4/11.pdf
- Sonwalkar, N. (2001, December). The sharp edge of the cube: Pedagogically driven instructional design for online education. *Syllabus*. Retrieved June 13, 2002, from <http://www.syllabus.com/syllabusmagazine/article.asp?id=5858>
- Spallek, H., Berthold, P., Shanley, D. B., & Attstrom, R. (2000). Distance education for dentists: Improving the quality of online instruction. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 14(2), 49-59.
- Stewart, I., Hong, E., & Strudler, N. (2004). Development and validation of an instrument for student evaluation of the quality of web-based instruction. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 18(3), 131-150.
- Swan, K. (2001). Virtual interactivity: design factors affecting student satisfaction and perceived learning in asynchronous online courses. *Distance Education*, 22, (2), 306-331. (R)
- Swan, K., (2003). Learning effectiveness: what the research tells us. In Bourne, J., and Moore, J. (Eds.), *Elements of Quality Online Education: Practice and Direction*. (pp.13-46). Needham, MA: Sloan-C.
- Swan, K., Shea, P., Fredericksen, E.E., Pickett, A.M. & Pelz, W.E. (2000). Course Design Factors Influencing the Success of Online Learning. Retrieved June 13, 2003, from www.ericit.org/fulltext/IR020523.pdf
- Thurmond, V., Wambach, K., Connors, H., and Frey, B. (2002). Evaluation of student satisfaction: determining the impact of a web-based environment by controlling for student characteristics. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 16 (3), 169-89.
- Trigano, P. C. & Pacurar-Giacomino, E. (2004). Toward a web based environment for evaluation and design of pedagogical hypermedia. *Educational Technology & Society*, 7(3), 21-37.
- Tuzum, H. (n.d.). *Guidelines for converting existing courses into web-based format*. ERIC Clearinghouse on Information and Technology. Retrieved April 25, 2003, from www.distance-education.com/dnews/?name=News&file=article&sid=8924

- Vandergrift, K. (2002, July). The anatomy of a distance education course: a case study analysis, *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 6 (1). Retrieved October 15, 2002, from http://www.aln.org/publications/jaln/v6n1/v6n1_vandergrift.asp
- Visser, L. & Visser, Y. L. (2000). Perceived and actual student support needs in distance education. *The Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 1 (2), 109-117.
- Vrasidas, C. & McIsaac, M. S. (1999). Factors influencing interaction in an online course. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 13 (3), 2-35.
- Weir, L. (2005, May). Raising the awareness of online accessibility. *THE: Journal*, 30 – 33.
- Williams, P. E. (2000). Defining distance education roles and competencies for higher education institutions: A computer-mediated delphi study [Electronic Version] (Doctoral Dissertation, Texas A & M, 2000) *Dissertation Abstracts International* (UMI No. AAT9969029).
- Wisher, R. A., Curnow, C. K., & Seidel, R.,J. (2001). Knowledge retention as a latent outcome measure in distance learning. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 15(3), 20-35.
- Wu, D., &Hiltz, S. (2004). Predicting learning from asynchronous online discussions. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 8(2). Retrieved May 3, 2005 from http://www.sloan-c.org/publications/jaln/v8n2/v8n2_wu.asp.
- Yeung, D. (2002 ,summer). Toward and effective quality assurance model of web-based learning: The perspective of academic staff. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, 5 (2). Retrieved March 19, 2003, from <http://www.westga.edu/~distance/ojdl/summer52/yeung52.htm>
- Youngblood, P., Trede, F., & DeCorpo, S. (2001). Facilitating online learning: A descriptive study. *Distance Education*, 22(2), 264-284.
- Zhang, D. (2005). Interactive multimedia-based e-learning: A study of effectiveness. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 19(3), 149-162.